EVOLUTION OF SPATIAL PATTERNS IN HOST-PARASITOID METAPOPULATION

SOMDATTA SINHA

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Mohali, India

B. K. SINGH

The University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame IN 46556, USA

[BIOMAT 2017

INM, RAS, Moscow. Russia]

PLAN

- Discrete Population Growth Dynamics single and interacting species
- Dynamics of populations under constant migration single and interacting species
- Metapopulation and types of connectivity
- Metapopulation dynamics under different connectivities
- Summary & implications

POPULATION GROWTH



Models of population growth processes are used to describe experimental data and predict future trends in many areas





Time series of different populations

How do natural populations behave ?



(Pearl, 1927)



(b) Prey-Predator system (Southern, 1970)



Continuous breeding with overlapping generations

Simplest differential equation model for single population is the Logistic Growth Model



In logistic growth model a single population can only grow, stabilise or die depending on r and K.

> Environmental noise Time lag Growth rate regulation

can induce other types of dynamics

Discrete Population Growth Dynamics single species and interacting species

Discrete growth with non-overlapping generations

A simple model for discrete growth $N_{t+1} = f(N_t)$ "Hump" like shape with a unique maximum



Simple models for discrete single populations



Skellam, Biometrika, 1951; Ricker, J.Fish.Res.Bd.Can., 1954; May, Nature, 1976; Hassell, J.Anim., Ecol., 1976; Bellows, J.Anim.Ecol., 1981.

All unidimensional "single-hump" discrete models show similar sequence of dynamics - stable to oscillatory to chaos through period doubling bifurcations - with increasing growth rate.

A general class of models exhibiting "universal" dynamics.

(Feigenbaum 1978 J. Stat. Phys., May 1976 Nature, Oster & May 1976 Am.Nat.)

Used interchangeably in Ecology to describe population growth.

Problems

Seemingly stochastic behaviour observed in data can be explained by complex, chaotic dynamics arising from nonlinearities in these simple deterministic models. *Chaos is associated with high risk of extinction and hence should be evolutionary selected against.*

How do natural populations behave ?

A large scale study

Hassell (1974, 1976) J. Anim. Ecol.



24 field and 4 laboratory populations of insects studied

field populations Stable
 O laboratory populations Unstable
 Hassell map

$$X(t+1) = r X(t) / (1 - X(t))^{b}$$

boundaries in the (R-b) plane are obtained theoretically for different dynamics

Questions: Is the erratic variation in population size due to noise ? Or, chaos ?

Why do these populations show stable dynamics in nature ? Do ecological processes play any role in stabilising dynamics ?

Interacting Species Population: Host - Parasitoid system

$H_{t+1} = f(H_t, P_t) = rH_t(1-H_t)exp[-\beta P_t]$ $P_{t+1} = g(H_t, P_t) = cH_t(1-exp[-\beta P_t])$

H_t, P_t - host & parasite population size at generation *t* r - intrinsic reproductive rate of host in absence of parasite c - average number of viable eggs laid by a parasite on a host β - searching efficiency of parasite to attack the host $exp[-\beta P_t]$ - fraction of host population escaping parasitism

Parasite grows only in presence of the host



In absence of the parasite, the host has Logistic growth, and exhibits a variety of dynamics, from equilibrium to chaos through perioddoubling bifurcations with increasing μ , in its population dynamics.

The bifurcation diagram of H with β for four different values of r.



Thus ecological interactions (e.g., trophic relationship between species) can modulate population dynamics and lend stability

Dynamics of populations under constant migration

single species

and

interacting species



Interacting (multiple patches with migration corridors)



Single (isolated patch)

ImmigrationIncreases sizeEmigrationReduces size, Extinction

Most populations in nature are isolated subpopulations connected through migration

Similar ecological processes are *dispersal, harvesting, recruitment, culling, release, refuge, immunize/quarantine, etc.*

Single discrete population models under constant migration (L)

Logistic map X(t+1) = r X(t)[1 - X(t)]

Exponential map $X(t+1) = X(t)exp\{r [1 - X(t)]\}$

Hassell map $X(t+1) = r X(t) / (1 - X(t))^{b}$

Bellows map $X(t+1) = r X(t) / (1 - X(t)^{b}).$

Discrete population models under constant migration (L)



- (1) Similar models (same universality class) respond differently to ecological processes.
- (3) Maps with "tail" (inflexion point) exhibit the *survival-extinction-survival* behaviour

Nonlinearity of density-dependence is important.

Sinha et al, Phys Rev Lett, Phys Rev E, PNAS (USA), etc

Constant Migration in Host - Parasitoid system

L=0, r = 4



Sinha et al Phys Rev E, PhysA, etc.



 β = 3.5 quasi-periodic

Population dynamics of Host and Parasitoid show opposite effects for migrations of each species.

Ecological interactions regulate dynamic response.

Metapopulation and types of connectivity

Host-Parasitoid metapopulation dynamics under different connectivities

Metapopulation

Subpopulations interacting through migration/dispersal

Migration depends on Spatial Connectivity (Random; Regular - "n" nearest neighbour, "Small-World", Long distance; All-to-all)

A Model Metapopulation in one dimension

(Coupled map lattice (CML) model)

$$x_{n+1}(i) = (1 - \epsilon)f(x_n(i) + (\epsilon/2)[f(x_n(i-1)+f(x_n(i+1))]$$

n = 1,2,...,N discrete time steps,
I = 1,2,...,L discrete lattice sites
ε = diffusion/coupling to nearest patches,
f(x) = local population growth function or
the dynamical system
= rx(1-x)) for Logistic map

(periodic boundary conditions)



- two-way density-dependent migration

SPATIOTEMPORAL DYNAMICS OF HOST-PARASITE TWO DIMENSIONAL LATTICE METAPOPULATION WITH DISPERSAL TO FOUR NEAREST-NEIGHBOURS

 $H_{t}'(j,k) = (1 - d1)H_{t}(j,k) + d1/(nn)\{H_{t}(j-1,k) + H_{t}(j+1,k) + H_{t}(j,k-1) + H_{t}(j,k+1)\},\$

 $P_{t}'(j,k) = (1-d2)P_{t}(j,k) + d2/(nn)\{P_{t}(j-1,k)+P_{t}(j+1,k)+P_{t}(j,k-1)+P_{t}(j,k+1)\}$



The role of heterogeneity on the spatiotemporal dynamics of host-parasite metapopulation

Two types of heterogeneity

1) Landscape Heterogeneity: Randomly distributed defective sites

where no population can grow or disperse. Landscape fragmentation

Creates difference in number of neighbours among sites.

2) Demographic heterogeneity: Randomly distributed sites where the

infectivity of parasitoids, β , were different



Landscape fragmentation and heterogeneity in parasite attack rates induce asynchrony in spatiotemporal dynamics. Increasing vacancy increases asynchrony

in larger number of lattices.







[Sinha et al,, Ecol.Model.]

Patterned heterogeneities

The dispersal functions to the nearest 8 neighbours in a square lattice is

$$H' = (1 - d_1)H_t(s) + \frac{d_1}{8}\sum_{j=1}^8 H_t(j)$$
$$P' = (1 - d_2)P_t(s) + d_2\sum_{j=1}^8 P_t(j)\delta_t^j(s).$$

d1 and d2 are the host and parasitoid dispersal coefficients, respectively. The parasitoid dispersal is assumed to be dependent on both the host and the parasitoid densities of the neighbouring patches. The term denotes the proportion of dispersing parasitoid populations from the neighbouring sites (j's) to site s. The functional form is given by:

$$\delta_t^j(s) = C_N \left(\frac{H_t^j(s)}{\sum_{i=1}^8 H_t^j(i)} \right)^{\eta},$$

where η is known as the 'aggregation index', and CN is a normalising constant such that,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{8} \delta_{t}^{j}(i) = 1.$$

BIOMAT 2017

Spatial patterns in host metapopulation



















Landscape fragmentation (a) Homogeneous lattice (b) vacant patches distributed randomly (c) Clusters of (3×3) vacant patches distributed randomly. (d) an impermeable barrier of vacant sites dividing metapopulation into two parts; (e) with one passage,

(f) with three passages.

BIOMAT 2017

Spatial patterns in host metapopulation

 $\beta = 4 - quasi-periodic$, and $\beta = 5$, Chaotic dynamics.



(a) Demographically homogeneous landscape with Left: β = 4, and Right: β = 5.

(b) Lattices with **demographic heterogeneity** – Left: 5% randomly selected sites have the parasitoid with β = 5, while the rest have β = 4. Right: The opposite of Left, i.e., 5% sites have the parasitoid with β = 4, while the rest have β = 5.

(c) Left: 4% of the total sites form a single sub-lattice of (10×10) sites, where the parasitoid populations have $\beta = 5$, while the rest of the sites have $\beta = 4$.

Right: The opposite of Left, i.e., the single sub-lattice have parasitoid populations with $\beta = 4$, while the other sites have $\beta = 5$.

BIOMAT 2017

SUMMARY

- Diverse patterns of species persistence, abundance and distribution are seen in nature. Ecological interactions, environmental and habitat heterogeneity, demographic and genetic inhomogeneity - are some of the factors that shape population persistence, spatial distribution, and diversity of the species.
- We have done systematic study of discrete generation single species and interacting Host-Parasitoid population dynamics in single isolated subpopulations and in metapopulations, where migration occurs between the subpopulations. We have shown how ecological interactions and spatial connectivities can modulate population dynamics. Both have significant role in altering dynamics of population growth.
- ♦ We model the effect of various forms of environmental (landscape and demographic) heterogeneities on the spatial dynamics of host-parasitoid metapopulations. These different forms of heterogeneity, coupled to different connectivity patterns of the habitat patches, lead to evolution of different spatial patterns in population distributions.
- ♦ The results explore the roles of different types of dispersal barriers and coexistence of different genotypes of host and parasitoid populations in migration and disease spread. They may also aid in biodiversity policy-making by helping in the design of conservation corridors.