Methods of estimating confidence-intervals in cost-effectiveness analysis

Affan Shoukat

Department of Mathematics and Statistics York University, Canada

BIOMAT 2017

November 3, 2017







< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Outline



- Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio
- General Theory of Ratio Distributions
- 2 Statistical Framework
- 3 Parametric Methods
 - Bonferroni's Interval
 - Taylor Series Method
 - Fieller's Theorem
- 4 Nonparametric Methods
- 5 Comparisons, Limitations, and Alternatives
 - Simulations

Introduction

- Economic evaluation of healthcare treatments, new therapies, and technologies is fundamentally concerned with decision making.
- In the context of limited resources and budget-constraints of health care, the effectiveness of a healthcare intervention is a necessary but not sufficient condition for provision of that intervention.
- The availibility of patient-specific cost and effect data from randomized clinical trials has driven rapid development of statistical methods for cost-effectiveness analysis.
- Several measures of cost-effectiveness have been proposed, for example the cost- effectiveness ratio, cost-effectiveness acceptibility curve, and net benefit ratio.
- The statistic of interest in most economic evaluation studies is the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER).

Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio (ICER)

Definition

• The ICER of a new health program/treatment is defined to be as the ratio of difference of expected costs and the difference of expected effects.

$$\mathsf{ICER} = \frac{\mathsf{expected \ cost \ of \ T1} - \mathsf{expected \ cost \ of \ T2}}{\mathsf{expected \ effect \ of \ T1} - \mathsf{expected \ effect \ of \ T2}}$$

- Easy to interpret: additional cost per unit of effectiveness gained by using treatment 1 instead of treatment 2.
- Although very easy to interpret, deriving statistical properties of a **ratio parameter** is difficult.
 - To test the hypothesis regarding the sign and magnitude of ICER.
 - To allow decision maker to determine how much confidence should be placed on the results.
 - To guide decisions about further research.

Incremental cost-effectiveness ratios

General Theory of Ratio Distributions

- Let X, Y be R.V. with expected values E(X) and E(Y) and the ratio of interest ρ := E(X)/E(Y).
- Unbiased estimators for the expected values are \bar{x} and \bar{y} . Then (\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) is distributed (approximately or exact) as bivariate normal.
- An intuitive point estimate for the ratio of interest is

$$ar{p} = rac{ar{x}}{ar{y}}$$

• Unusual Behaviour:

- Neither the expected value of nor variance exist.
- The estimator is unbiased.
- In particular the ratio of two independent standard Gaussian random variables follows a **Cauchy distribution**.

The Main Question...

What methods exist for statistical inference for the cost-effectiveness ratio

 $\mathsf{ICER} = \frac{\mathsf{cost} \; \mathsf{of} \; \mathsf{treatment} \; 1 - \mathsf{cost} \; \mathsf{of} \; \mathsf{treatment} \; 2}{\mathsf{effect} \; \mathsf{of} \; \mathsf{treatment} \; 1 - \mathsf{effect} \; \mathsf{of} \; \mathsf{treatment} \; 2}$

Probabilistic Measures

- Consider a randomized trial with two treatment arms, T₁ and T₂. Let the cost (lower is better) and effectiveness (higher is better) for subject j who receives treatment i be C_{ij} and E_{ij}.
- Assume that (C_i, E_i) has a bivariate distribution with expected values (μ_i, ε_i), variance (σ_i², τ_i²), and covariance γ. Also assume they are independently and identically distributed over all patients.

Treatment 1 is cost-effective if...

$$C_1 \leq C_2$$
 and $E_1 \geq E_2$

Thus decision maker is interested in the probability

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathit{C}_1 \leq \mathit{C}_2 + \delta_{\mathit{C}} ext{ and } \mathit{E}_1 \geq \mathit{E}_2 + \delta_{\mathit{E}})$$

or alternatively,

$$\mathcal{P}(C_1 \leq C_2 + \delta_C \mid E_1 \geq E_2 + \delta_E)$$

Parametric Methods

The Normality Assumption

Assume

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_i \\ E_i \end{pmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu_i \\ \epsilon_i \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_i^2 \\ \tau_i^2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$
(1)

Let \hat{C}_i , \hat{E}_i be the unbiased estimators for μ_i , ϵ_i . It follows, the **difference** of expected costs and difference of expected effects also follows the normal distribution,

$$\Delta \hat{C} = (\hat{C}_1 - \hat{C}_2) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$$

 $\Delta \hat{E} = (\hat{E}_1 - \hat{E}_2) \sim \mathcal{N}(\epsilon, \tau)$

where

$$\mu = \mu_1 - \mu_2 \qquad \qquad \epsilon = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$$

$$\sigma = \sigma_1^2 / N_1 + \sigma_2^2 / N_2 \qquad \qquad \tau = \tau_1^2 / N_1 + \tau_2^2 / N_2$$

and covariance ω

Parametric Methods - ICER

Cost-Effectiveness Ratios

Using the **expected value** of the *difference in expected costs* μ *and effects* ϵ , define the **incremental cost-effectiveness ratio**,

$$p = \frac{\mu}{\epsilon} := \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2}{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2}$$

which is estimated by

$$\hat{R}=rac{\Delta\hat{C}}{\Delta\hat{E}}:=rac{(\hat{C_1}-\hat{C_2})}{(\hat{E_1}-\hat{E_2})}$$

 Recall, the *ICER* ρ is the incremental cost of obtaining one additional unit of effect by using treatment T₁ instead of treatment T₂.

Bonferroni (Box) Intervals

- Wakker and Klassenn described a method for producing confidence intervals for ρ based on Bonferroni's inequality.
- We are interested in inference about ρ = μ/ϵ. Since normality is assumed, obtain (1 − plpha)% and 1 − (1 − p)α% confidence limits for the parameters μ and ϵ, for 0 ≤ p ≤ 1.
- Let the lower and upper confidence limits be denoted by L_C , U_C for costs and L_E , U_E for effects. If all four are positive,

Then by an application of Bonferroni's inequality...

$$\mathcal{P}\left[\frac{L_{\mathcal{C}}}{U_{\mathcal{E}}} < \rho < \frac{U_{\mathcal{C}}}{L_{\mathcal{E}}}\right] \geq 1 - \alpha$$

where the event $\frac{L_c}{U_E} < \rho < \frac{U_c}{L_E}$ is a conservative $(1 - \alpha)$ % confidence interval of ρ .

Taylor's Method

- Since the ICER is a ratio of two random variables, its exact variance can not be derived in a closed form.
- O'Brien et al proposed a method to estimate variance by a Taylor series approximation

Approximation by Taylor's series...

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{R}) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{\Delta\hat{C}}{\Delta\hat{E}}\right) \approx \left(\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{\tau^2}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{2\omega}{\mu\epsilon}\right)$$

The means and variances can be estimated by substituting the sample means and variances.

- The confidence interval can then be constructed assuming a normal distribution based on the central limit theorem.
- The (1α) % confidence interval is

$$\hat{R} \pm z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{R})}$$

Fieller's Theorem

- Proposed by Fieller (1954), the method transforms the ratio into a linear function of two variables.
- Application of Fieller's theorem method does not restrict the distribution of the ratio to be normal or symmetric.
- The estimated correlation between costs and effects is included in the computation.

Define the Net Monetary Benefit...

For
$$\hat{\Delta C} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$$
 and $\hat{\Delta E} \sim \mathcal{N}(\epsilon, \tau^2)$ with covariance ω , define

$$\Delta P = \Delta \hat{C} - \rho \Delta \hat{E}$$

Then, observe

$$rac{\Delta \hat{C} -
ho \Delta \hat{E}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 +
ho^2 au^2 - 2
ho \omega}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

Fieller's Method

Fieller's Method, continued

At a signifance level of $\alpha,$ the confidence interval is obtained by solving the inequality

$$\left| \frac{\Delta \hat{C} - \rho \Delta \hat{E}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \rho^2 \tau^2 - 2\rho \omega}} \right| \le z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$
(2)
$$\implies s\rho^2 - 2t\rho + u \le 0$$
(3)

where $z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is the critical value from the standard normal distribution and,

$$s = \Delta \hat{E} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\tau^{2}$$
$$t = \Delta \hat{C} \Delta E - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\omega$$
$$u = \Delta \hat{C}^{2} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\sigma^{2}$$

Equation (3) is called the **Fieller quadratic**.

Nonparametric Methods

Bootstrap Method

- Most common used method for ICERs since it is free of model assumptions.
- Generally yield reliable results for data with moderate sample sizes.
- The computation requires resampling from the study (say K times) and computing cost-effectiveness ratios in each of the samples.
- Using the mean costs and mean effects of each of the K samples, obtain K ICERs R^{*} = [R^{*}₁, R^{*}₂, ..., R^{*}_K] which form an emperical distribution of R^{*}.
- Methods for inference: Standard, t-, Percentiles, and Bias-corrected-and-accelerated.

Comparisons and Limitations of Methods

- Bonferroni and Taylor intervals that assume the normal sampling distribution have poor coverages. However they can be applied in more diverse settings and are easier to compute.
- In terms of the coverage accuracy, Chaudhary and Stearns (1996) recommended Fieller's and the bias-corrected bootstrap methods.
- Polsky et al. (1997) and Briggs et al. (1999) also found Fieller's method was best, while M.Y. Fan and X.H. Zhou (unpublished manuscript) recommended the bootstrap-t interval.
- Fieller's interval requires finding roots of a quadratic equation and these can be imaginary. In addition, if this quadratic equation has one root, the confidence interval is half open.

Agent Based Modelling

- Large number of Monte Carlo simulations.
- Can the above framework be modified to use time-series data instead of patient level data?

Alternative Methods

Alternative parametric and nonparametric methods

- Generalized Pivotal Quantity.
- Bayesian Methods.
- Edgeworth Expansions for the Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio (ICER) .
- Net Health Benefit Approach and Regression Framework. Define the incremental net benefit as

$$\Delta E \cdot \lambda - \Delta C \tag{4}$$

where λ is a *willingness to pay* parameter.

• The Cost-Effectiveness Acceptability Curve (CEAC).

Simulations

See Paper

Thank you